

Matrix effects during trace elements analysis in plant samples by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry with axial view configuration and ultrasonic nebulizer

P. Masson*, D. Orignac, A. Vives and T. Prunet

Unité d'Agronomie, Centre de recherches INRA de Bordeaux, B.P. 81, 33883 Villenave d'Ornon Cedex, France

Abstract. Plant matrix effects have been characterized during trace element analysis by axial view inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry with ultrasonic nebulizer. Six elements were studied: As, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb. The interferences were simulated by measuring analyte signals on solutions containing various concentrations of elements encountered in mineralized plant samples such as K, Ca, Mg, P, Na, Fe and Mn. Signal suppression is observed for the ionic lines and signal enhancement occurred for atomic lines. The effects were found to be caused by particles and easily ionizable elements (EIEs) into the central channel of the plasma. The particle vaporization led to lower plasma temperatures and decreased emission intensities. EIEs changed the ion/atom ratio toward atom and improved the atomic emission intensities. Use of a plant sample on the analytical signal of trace elements led to same conclusions. The matrix effects can be removed by using high generator power especially for the ionic spectra lines.

Keywords. Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry – ultrasonic nebulizer – matrix interference – plant sample analysis – traces analysis – heavy metals.

Introduction

Since many years, inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) is becoming a widely used technique to determine the levels of major elements in plant samples after acid dissolution [1-4]. Nevertheless, smallest detection limits are required for analysis of trace element concentrations. The recent developments in instrumentation with axial view configuration allow an improvement in sensitivity [5-9]. The plasma volume observed is many times larger compared to the radial-view systems. Davis and Dean reported five to ten fold improvement in the detection limits [8]. However, the performances of an axial ICP equipped with pneumatic nebulizer are just acceptable for routine trace element measurements in plant samples [9]. For some analytes such as Cd, Pb or As, concentration values in plant tissues could be sometimes below the detection limits. The main limitation is the low sample introduction efficiency. Only a relatively small fraction (2-3 %) of the sample solution is converted to the very fine aerosol that ultimately reaches the plasma. The use of an ultrasonic nebulizer (USN) may increase the emission signals for all elements. In fact, it is well known that USN convert more efficiently liquid samples into aerosol suitable for ICP analysis. The sampling rate of aerosol generation is typically up to ten times better than that of conventional pneumatic nebulizers.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the potential of an axial view ICP spectrometer equipped with an ultrasonic nebulizer for the determination of six trace elements often analyzed in plant samples (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb). Plant samples have been analyzed with radial view ICP and USN [10] and with axial view system and pneumatic nebulizer [9] but no reports could be found for axial-view ICP equipped with USN. Problems for the analyses of many different types of samples are, then, still unknown in this instrumental configuration.

Chemical matrix effects must be considered and removed to obtain accurate analytical results. The nature and causes of matrix effects have been widely discussed in the literature and have been reviewed by Olesik [11]. It is assumed that interferences in ICP result from changes in the excitation conditions in the plasma itself such as electron number density and ionization temperatures. It can result a significant analytical error for samples with high concentration of dissolved solids such as mineralized plant solutions. Interferences of this type have been reported in axial-view ICP equipped with pneumatic nebulizer and can at times be quite severe [5,6,9]. On other hand, previous studies have also reported matrix effects in radial view system with USN [10,12-14]. Consequently, the use of ultrasonic nebulization on an axial view ICP represents probably a very unfavorable case for the heaviest matrix.

*Correspondence and reprints.

Received May, 19, 1999; revised and accepted July, 30, 1999.

A synthetic stock matrix solution was prepared containing the major elements (K, Ca, Mg, Na, P, Fe and Mn) at concentrations encountered in plant digests. The influence of this matrix on the ion and atom lines intensities of the six trace elements was investigated at various concentrations. This simulation method has been used by Hoenig *et al.* [10] for a radial ICP equipped with ultrasonic nebulizer. The interferences affecting the measurements were discussed and minimized as far as possible. The aim of this simulation was also to determine the effect of the adjustable parameters such as power, nebulization pressure or uptake flow rate on the inter-element effects in plant samples. Next, the accuracy of the optimized analytical method was controlled using a plant material with increasing spikes of a trace elements standard solution.

Experimental

Apparatus

The ICP-AES instrument used was a Varian Liberty Series II vacuum spectrometer with an SPS-5 autosampler. The instrument was equipped with a standard one-piece low flow extended torch with quartz injector tube (2.3 mm diameter). The axial-viewed torch system has a cooled cone interface, eliminating the need for a shear gas, reducing interference, and improving the linear dynamic range. An independent ultrasonic nebulizer U-6000AT+ (CETAC Technologies, USA) equipped with a two-stage desolvation system was used. The liquid sample is pumped onto the face of the piezoelectric transducer driven ultrasonic frequencies where it is converted to a fine and dense aerosol. The nebulizer gas flow transports the wet aerosol through a heated U-tube where the solvent is vaporized. Solvent vapors are then condensed by the thermo-electric cooler and removed by the drain pump to the waste. The sample output is sent directly to the ICP.

The maximization of the signal to background ratios was chosen to adjust the ICP parameters in the initial method and to begin measurements with the highest analytical sensitivity. Details of experimental conditions used in this study are summarized in Table I. The wavelengths chosen were the most sensitive among these without spectral interference. Details of element parameters are given in Table II.

Interference studies

Interference studies were performed on the six analytes (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni and Pb) present at the concentration of 16 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in the matrix solutions. The solutions were issued from a stock solution containing the main matrix elements as than encountered in mineralized plant samples: K 500 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, Ca 250 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, Mg 80 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, P 80 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, Na 40 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, Fe 30 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and Mn 20 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$. Such concentrations are perhaps too high to represent real sample; in plant samples they may attain about half of these values on average. Parts of the synthetic matrix solution were added

Table I. Analytical parameters.

	<i>initial program</i>	<i>modified program</i>
Generator Frequency (MHz)	40.68	40.68
PMT voltage (V)	900	900
Nebulization pressure (Kpa)	220	200
Pump rate (rpm)	25	25
Plasma gas flow ($\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	15	15
Auxiliary gas flow ($\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	2.25	2.25
Background correction	Dynamic mode	Dynamic mode
Power (kW)	1.0	1.4
USN temperatures ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	140 / 2	140 / 2

to aliquots of the trace multi-element solution in the following proportion: 0 % (pure trace multi-element solution), 10 % v/v, 20 % v/v, 40 % v/v, 60 % v/v and 80 % v/v before completion with distilled water to a constant volume. Each solution was acidified to 5 % v/v with concentrated nitric acid. Analyte signals were measured in triplicate. Relative intensities were expressed as the ratios of the intensities obtained in the matrix solutions to this obtained in the pure solution. This procedure allows determining the effects of progressively increasing amounts of plant matrix on the analytical response of trace elements studied. All reagents were analytical grade. All vessels were washed with 10 % v/v HNO_3 and rinsed with distilled water before use.

Recovery studies

The accuracy of the method was ultimately controlled using a usually cultivated plant sample (hay powder). The sample was digested many times with blanks. Two additions of a standard solution containing 400 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ of the researched elements were added in certain solutions (respectively 1 mL and 5 mL to obtain final added concentrations of 4 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and 20 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$). In final, we have three different plant digestion solutions in triplicate, one without addition and two with addition in the volumetric flasks.

For digestion, dry plant sample (1.0 g) was weighed in to a 250 mL Pyrex glass tube. Then 5 mL nitric acid (HNO_3 65 % Baker analyzed) were added. After 16 hours (overnight) of cold digestion, 10 mL of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 30 % Baker analyzed) were added. The tubes were equipped with a cooling system and heated with reflux during a 2.5 h period. The acid mixture was thereafter filtered through ashless filter paper into a 100 mL volumetric flask and made up with distilled water.

The ICP-AES instrument is calibrated with a blank and three multi-element standard solutions prepared from commercially available 1000 $\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ stock solutions (Merck Titrisol). The final concentrations in the standard solutions (acidified to 5 % v/v with nitric acid) were respectively 400, 200 and 100 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$. The linearity of the standards was satisfactory for all species ($r^2 \geq 0.995$) over this concentration range.

Table II. Spectroscopic data.

Element	Wavelength nm	Atom/Ion I/II	grating order	integration time sec	Ionization potential eV	Excitation potential eV	Total energy eV
As	188.979	I	1	10	9.81	6.57	6.57
Cd	214.438	II	3	3	8.99	5.79	14.78
Cd	228.802	I	3	3	8.99	5.43	5.43
Co	228.616	II	3	3	7.86	5.43	13.29
Co	345,350	I	1	10	7.86	3.59	3.59
Cr	267.716	II	3	3	6.77	4.64	11.40
Cr	357,869	I	2	5	6.77	3.46	3.46
Ni	221.647	II	3	3	7.64	5.60	13.24
Ni	231.604	II	3	3	7.64	5.36	12.99
Ni	232,003	I	3	3	7.64	5.34	5.34
Pb	220.353	II	3	5	7.42	5.63	13.05

Results and discussion

Interference studies

In figure 1, the relative intensities of analytes are presented depending on the addition rate of the matrix solution. Accurate analyses require that any change in the plant matrix composition does not result in a significant variation in the analytical signal; a 5 % rate as error is acceptable for a usual routine analysis. With the exception of As, the effects shown depressions of the analyte emission intensities with an increasing amount of matrix in the plasma. In the most unfavorable cases it may attain about 25 % depression in the presence of the heaviest matrix. For the analytical signal of As, concomitants cause an enhancement effect for all matrices used.

Alternative wavelengths, slightly less sensitive, were tested for Cd, Co, Cr and Ni. Spectroscopic data are also given in table II. Compared with figure 1, figure 2 indicated different behavior of the atomic and ionic spectral lines of the same analyte in the presence of the matrix. Largest depressions in the ionic emission intensities occurred and were more severe for spectral lines with high ionization and excitation potentials (Cd 214.438 nm). This is in accordance with previous observations in axial view ICP [6,9]. Enhancements in the atomic emission intensities occurred in the plasma and are largest for spectra lines where less excitation energy is required (Cr 357.869 nm and Co 345.350 nm). These improvements appeared for the lowest matrix solution (10 %) but were also depressed with an increasing amount of matrix.




Fig. 1. Effect of the matrix concentration on emission signal recoveries of the six trace elements.




Fig. 2. Effect of the matrix concentration on emission signal recoveries of some trace elements for alternative wavelengths.

However, this first optimized method was unsatisfactory. A way to obtain robust conditions is to vary power supply, aerosol flow rate and carrier gas flow rate [5,6,9,15]; the transfer of energy between plasma and sample depend strongly on these parameters. The power influences the energy available in the plasma. The aerosol flow rate can be adjusted by the setting of speed of peristaltic pump and influences the amount of dry aerosol in the plasma. The gas flow rate can be controlled by the nebulization pressure and influences the residence time of the sample in the plasma.

Figure 3 presents the effects of various powers supplies on the ionic line relative intensities of several trace elements for the 80 % matrix solution. In all cases, the effects were decreased as power increases. At 1.4 kW, the analytical signals were satisfactory for routine analysis (relative intensity above 95 %). Only the most energetic line (Cd 214.438 nm) remained to low but very nearby of the limit (93.8 %). Figures 4 and 5 present the effect of power on the atomic spectral lines for the 80 % and 10 % matrix solution respectively. The relative intensities shown enhancements as power is increased especially for elements with low excitation potentials such as Cr or Co. Spectral lines originating from states with high excitation energies (Cd 228.802 nm and As 188.979 nm) were less affected and gave satisfactory results for the slight power supplies (below 1.0 kW).

In figure 6, the effects of the 80 % matrix solution on the analytical signals are function of various pump speed. The measurements were performed at 1.3 kW power supply for ionic lines and 0.8 kW for atomic lines. The influence was very sensitive below 20 rpm whatever the nature of the spectra line (ionic or atomic). As the solution uptake rate decreased, interferences improved the emission signals. Under these conditions, the influence of the solution flow rate only, was not adequate contrary to the studies with pneumatic nebulizer [9]. Figure 7 shows the effects of the 80 % matrix solution on the analytical signals of several elements as function of various nebulizer pressures. The




Fig. 3. Effect of the power supply on emission signal recoveries of the 80% matrix solution for the ionic wavelengths of elements (Pump speed: 25 rpm, Nebulization pressure: 220 kPa).

measurements were performed at 1.2 kW power supply. The same effects occurred than with the pump speed. The enhancements of emission intensities were sensitives for the slight pressures (below 200 kPa). The limitation of interferences on the trace elements analysis was obtained especially with great power supply (1.4 kW) for ionic lines.

Discussion

USN decreased the amount of water entering into the plasma with a desolvation system and increased the available plasma energy compared to pneumatic nebulizer [9]. Nevertheless, a substantial mass of particles was introduced into the plasma in the presence of any high salt plant matrix




Fig. 4. Effect of the power supply on emission signal recoveries of the 80 % matrix solution for the atomic wavelengths of elements (Pump speed: 25 rpm, Nebulization pressure: 220 kPa).




Fig. 5. Effect of the power supply on emission signal recoveries of the 10 % matrix solution for the atomic wavelengths of elements (Pump speed: 25 rpm, Nebulization pressure: 220 kPa).




Fig. 6. Effect of the pump speed on emission signal recoveries of the 80 % matrix solution for some elements (Power: 1.3 kW for the ionic wavelengths, Power: 0.8 kW for the atomic wavelengths, Nebulization pressure: 220 kPa).




Fig. 7. Effect of the nebulization pressure on emission signal recoveries of the 80 % matrix solution for some elements (Power: 1.2 kW, Pump speed: 25 rpm).

type. Particles were distributed across the central channel of the plasma [16] and energy was absorbed through particle vaporization, dissociation, ionization and excitation. This would produce a significant reduction in ionization temperature and in electron population [16,17]. When non-robust conditions were used, the excitation step lacks energy. This cooling effect contributed to decrease analytical line intensities.

Plant samples were typically the case of easily ionizable elements (EIEs) as matrix effects [5,6,18-24] and analyte signals were affected by the presence of high concentrations

of elements efficiently ionized such as K, Ca or Mg. EIEs are strongly ionized in the plasma and cause enhancement of electron number density in the coolest plasma zones [24] which probably increase size with the particles effect for highest matrix concentrations. Thus, EIEs cause a shift in the relative number of atoms and ions towards atom by ion-electron recombination reactions [18,21]. The axial dependence of analyte emission intensities in the presence of an excess of EIEs was investigated previously [19,20,22]. It can be resumed that ionic lines of analytes are decreased. The depressions tend to be more severe for less easily ionized

elements. Enhancements in the atomic emission intensities occurred in the coolest plasma zones and are largest for easily ionized elements. The experimental results (Fig. 1-2) led to the same observations. The EIEs effect appeared for few matrix amounts (10 %) and was depressed by the particle effect for the highest matrix concentrations.

Increasing the input power resulted in a corresponding increase temperatures at all location in the plasma and appeared to equalize the electron density in the central channel [25]. The energy transfer between the plasma and the species were more completed and more particles should be completely vaporized. Under some circumstances, the analytical ionic line intensities were restored but EIEs effect remained severe for atomic emission intensities and it was difficult to found an explanation. High power supply and high matrix concentration were two antagonist phenomena, which led perhaps to larger recombination reaction regions. High power accelerated also the kinetic of the ion-electron recombination reactions.

Decreasing the sample uptake rate or the nebulization pressure leads generally to minimize the plasma energy absorption and, then, the matrix effects. However, the relative intensities of analyte lines for the 80 % matrix solution increase rapidly. Boumans and deBoer [12] have observed this phenomenon for Zn with presence or absence of different concentrations of KCl. They interpret this behavior in terms of a loss in the water drained from the condenser for the pure aqueous solution. In fact, if the pump speed and the nebulization pressure influenced the amount of aerosol and it residence time in the plasma, they influenced also the amount of aerosol and it residence time in the USN desolvation system. The solvent elimination became very efficient, especially when no matrix was present. The dried aerosol with matrix might contain water attached to the salt particles and was less affected. Many workers [26-30] indicated that water plays a very important role in excitation characteristics of the plasma. The absence of water resulted in a poor energy transfer in the plasma for the solution without matrix and, then, an increasing in the relative intensities.

The effect might be masked when more amount of water is present in the plasma *via* larger pump speed or larger nebulization pressure.

Recovery studies

The possible extends of these interferences and their correction was verified by the analysis of the six trace elements studied in plant sample with addition of standard solution. Results with the initial analytical program were unsatisfactory for the majority of trace elements (Tab. III). The depressions observed for the ionic spectra lines varied between 8.1 % (Cr) and 27.3 % (Pb) for the 4 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ addition and between 12.1 % (Cd) and 20.9 % (Pb) for the 20 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ addition. Only As signals appeared as unaffected by the matrix presence. This was in accordance with the interference studies.

To remove the matrix effects, a second method was edited with different experimental conditions of the ICP based on the simulation results (Tab. I). Like this, previously reported interferences for the ionic spectral lines became negligible (Tab. IV). In all cases, the analytical results obtained for the reference plant sample were comparable to the corresponding spites (errors < 5 % except Cr: 5.1 %). Conversely, recoveries were logically unacceptable for the atomic spectral lines (Table V). However, each analyze of plant material may be routinely considered. Table VI shows the detection limits obtained for both methods. The detection limits were calculated as three times the standard deviations of the blanks which were determined by measuring 10 times a sample of distilled water in 5 % v/v HNO_3 . It was clear that the performances attained were in the range of graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GF-AAS). It should be mentioned that matrix effects decreased the signal to background ratios and can affected slightly the detection limits. Consequently, the indicated values were the theoretical limits which cannot be exceeded in practice.

Table III. Recovery in $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ of addition in the plant solutions with the initial ICP program.

Element	As	Cd	Co	Cr	Ni	Pb
Wavelength nm	188.979	214.438	228.616	267.716	231v604	220.353
Addition 4 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$						
Mean ($n = 3$)	3.91	3.21	3.31	3.68	3.29	2.91
% RSD	8.35	6.08	3.84	11.22	3.66	4.80
% error	2.26	19.78	17.26	8.09	17.76	27.32
Addition 20 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$						
Mean ($n = 3$)	19.35	17.59	16.57	17.49	16.70	15.83
% RSD	8.28	2.85	0.73	5.00	2.37	6.74
% error	3.25	12.06	17.15	12.53	16.51	20.85

Table IV. Recovery in $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ of addition in the plant solutions with the modified ICP program.

Element	As	Cd	Co	Cr	Ni	Pb
Wavelength nm	188.979	214.438	228.616	267.716	231.604	220.353
Addition 4 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$						
Mean ($n = 3$)	4.55	4.05	3.96	3.95	3.89	3.85
% RSD	6.88	6.97	4.70	3.33	2.31	2.31
% error	13.75	1.22	1.11	1.33	2.66	3.64
Addition 20 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$						
Mean ($n = 3$)	22.41	19.50	20.94	21.02	20.68	20.32
% RSD	7.23	3.20	2.02	5.59	2.27	5.48
% error	12.04	2.52	4.71	5.12	3.38	1.62

Table V. Recovery in $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ of addition in the plant solutions for atomic spectra lines with the modified ICP program.

Element	Cd	Co	Cr	Ni
Wavelength nm	228.802	345.350	357.869	232.003
Addition 4 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$				
Mean ($n = 3$)	4.40	5.28	5.92	5.14
% RSD	8.96	7.57	5.26	0.95
% error	9.99	31.93	47.92	28.56
Addition 20 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$				
Mean ($n = 3$)	21.69	23.69	25.70	22.43
% RSD	2.08	2.20	2.92	0.56
% error	8.43	18.46	28.48	12.15

Table VI. Detection limits in $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ for the two ICP methods.

Element	Wavelength (nm)	initial program	modified program
As	188.979	0.976	1.161
Cd	214.438	0.051	0.046
Co	228.616	0.105	0.074
Cr	267.716	0.200	0.321
Ni	231.604	0.233	0.428
Pb	220.353	0.617	0.696

Conclusion

The results suggested that axial view ICP-AES equipped with ultrasonic nebulizer could become a suitable analytical tool for the routine determination of trace elements in digests of plant samples provided that the matrix interferences are corrected. In this study, the plant matrix effects

were strongly related to analyte excitation potentials and were identified as a combination of particles and EIEs individual effects in the axial zone of the plasma. The dissociation of particles in free atoms and ions cooled the plasma and decreased the fraction of analytes that were excited. The ionization of EIEs changed the ion/atom ratio toward atom by ion-electron recombination reactions. Particles and EIEs had then the same effect on the ionic line intensities and led to their depressions. On the other hand, particle effect competed with EIEs effect on the atomic spectra lines. The data shown that the EIEs enhancement is stronger than the particle depression.

Accurate measurements were restored by using operating ICP parameters leading to an efficient energy transfer between the plasma and the sample. It is recommended to use a generator power higher than 1.3 kW. The ion emission signals of analytes were so depressed less than 5 %, which is acceptable for routine analysis. Moderate power (1.0 kW) could be used for the more energetic atomic spectral lines (such as As 188.979 nm). It is recommended also to control the flow rate of aerosol entering in the plasma (pump speed > 20 rpm and nebulization pressure > 200 kPa). Contrary to the use of pneumatic nebulizer, a decrease of the sample flow rate or in the carrier gas flow rate increased the matrix effect in the plasma.

However, detection limits attained with axial ICP and ultrasonic nebulization are in the range of GF-AAS. Due to improved sensitivity, samples may be often diluted and matrix effects consequently minimized. The concentration of concomitants encountered in plant samples was relatively low and does not correspond to the maximum matrix influence that could be obtained for other environmental samples such as soils or wastes.

References

- Huang, C.L.; Schulte, E E. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **1985**, *16*, 943-958.

2. Bowman, R. A. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **1989**, *20*, 539-553.
3. Turner, M. D.; Brooks, P. D. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **1992**, *23*, 559-568.
4. Masson, P.; Esvan, J.-M. *Analusis* **1995**, *23*, 437-440.
5. Dubuisson, C.; Poussel, E.; Mermet, J. M. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1997**, *12*, 281-286.
6. Brenner, I. B.; Zander, A.; Cole, M.; Wiseman, A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1997**, *12*, 897-906.
7. Raven, K. P.; Reynolds, J. W.; Loeppert, R. H. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **1997**, *28*, 237-257.
8. Davies, J.; Dean, J. R.; Snook, R. D. *Analyst* **1985**, *110*, 535-540.
9. Masson, P. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1999**, *54*, 603-612.
10. Hoenig, M.; Baeten, H.; Vanhentenrijk, S.; Ploegaerts, G.; T. Bertholet, T. *Analusis* **1997**, *25*, 13-19.
11. Olesik, J. W. *Anal. Chem. News & Features* **1996**, 469A-474A.
12. Boumans, P. W. J. M.; De Boer, F. J. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1976**, *31*, 355-375.
13. Trassy, C.; Mermet J. M., *Les applications analytiques des plasmas HF*; Technique et Documentation, Lavoisier: Paris, 1984.
14. Clifford, R.H.; Sohal, P.; Liu, H.; Montraser, A. *Spectrochim. Acta B*, **1992**, *47*, 1107-1122.
15. Mermet, J.M. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1991**, *250*, 85-94.
16. Olesik, J. W.; Smith, L. J.; Williamsen, E. J. *Anal. Chem.* **1989**, *61*, 2002-2008.
17. Hobbs, S. E.; J. W. Olesik, J. W. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1993**, *48*, 817-833.
18. Blades, M. W.; Horlick, G. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1981**, *36*, 861-880.
19. Olesik, J. W.; Williamsen, E. J. *Appl. Spectrosc.* **1989**, *43*, 1223-1232.
20. Gillson, G.; Horlick, G. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1986**, *41*, 619-624.
21. Thompson, M.; Ramsey, M. H. *Analyst* **1985**, *110*, 1413-1422.
22. Tripkovic, M. R.; Holclajtner-Antunovic, I. D. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1993**, *8*, 349-357.
23. Holclajtner-Antunovic, I. D.; Tripkovic, M. R. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1993**, *8*, 359-365.
24. Romero, X.; E. Poussel, E.; Mermet, J. M. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1997**, *52*, 495-502.
25. Caughlin, B. L.; Blades, M. W. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1984**, *39*, 1583-1602.
26. Tang, Y. Q.; Trassy, C. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1986**, *41*, 143-150.
27. Long, S. E.; Browner, R. F. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1986**, *41*, 639-649.
28. Long, S. E.; Browner, R. F. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1988**, *43*, 1461-1471.
29. Caughlin, B. L.; Blades, M. W. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1987**, *42*, 353-360.
30. Nowak, S.; van der Mullen, J. M. A.; van Lammeren, A. C. A. P.; Schram, D. C. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **1989**, *44*, 411-418.